

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,567	02/20/2004	Luis F. Barron	DP-311107	7552	
75	90 12/19/2005		EXAMINER		
JIMMY L. FUNKE			BASTIANELLI, JOHN		
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Legal Staff Mail Code: 480-410-202 P.O. Box 5052			3751		
Troy, MI 48007-5052			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TW'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,567	BARRON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Bastianelli	3751	
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	; - -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		its is
	in parto quayro, 1000 o.b. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 November 2005</u> is/a		ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	e
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/783,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okazaki et al. US 5,915,416.

Okazaki discloses a solenoid valve having a valve housing supporting a coil 48; a ball 51 in the valve housing, a valve seat 41e in the valve housing, and a rod 56 reciprocatingly disposed in the valve housing between a deenergized configuration, wherein the coil is deenergized and the ball is against the valve seat, and an energized configuration, wherein the coil is energized and the rod is urged against the ball to move the ball away from the valve seat, wherein the valve housing defines the valve seat and is made integrally with a winding bay 41, the coil being wound in the winding bay. The valve housing has a ball retainer rib 52 defining a supply port 42 having a first diameter and the ball between the rib and the valve seat in a second diameter larger than the first diameter. The valve housing defines a control port 43 and an exhaust port 44. A vehicle fluid system communicates with the valve. The valve has a primary plate 45 and a

Application/Control Number: 10/783,567 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

terminal 46 with the housing injection molded around the plate and terminal to form the valve seat and winding bay.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okazaki et al. US 5,915,416 in view of Teranishi US 5,282,329.

Okazaki lacks the rod distanced from the ball in the deenergized position. Teranishi discloses a rod 25 distanced from a ball 17 in the deenergized position. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the rod and ball as disclosed by Okazaki with the rod distanced from the ball by .1 to .8 mm in order to reduce hysteresis (col. 5, lines 39-43) as disclosed by Teranishi.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tomoda, Smith, Schmid, McElroy, Sturman, and Seid disclose solenoid valves having a ball actuated by a rod. Brown, Weiler, Sudani, and Ojima disclose solenoid valves with balls distanced from a rod.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Ph

JB December 7, 2005